

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

On June 6, 2017, petitioner Relmon H. Davis, III (“Petitioner”), a California prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (“Petition”). [Dkt. No. 1.] Notably, this is not Petitioner’s first federal petition challenging his 2009 state court conviction for assault with a deadly weapon and forcible oral copulation. (*See* Pet. at 2.) Rather, Petitioner also challenged this *same* conviction in 2013 (“2013 Petition”). [*See* C.D. Cal. Case No. SA CV 13-0886 DOC (JCG), Dkt. No. 1.] The 2013 Petition was denied. [*See id.*, Dkt. Nos. 4, 6, 7.]

Nevertheless, Petitioner failed to obtain the Ninth Circuit’s authorization to file a “second or successive” petition before commencing the instant action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). Thus, the Petition is an unauthorized “second or successive” petition, and the Court must dismiss this action for lack of jurisdiction. *See id.* Moreover, an

1 "attack on a state court conviction may not be brought in a habeas petition under 28
2 U.S.C. § 2241 petition." *Tomlinson v. McGrew*, 2014 WL 1512187, at *1-2 (C.D. Cal.
3 Mar. 18, 2014).

4 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED THAT** this action be **SUMMARILY**
5 **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing
6 Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability be **DENIED**
8 because Petitioner has not shown that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether
9 this Court was correct in its procedural ruling. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473,
10 484 (2000).

11 **LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.**

12
13
14 DATED: December 7, 2017



15
16 HON. DAVID O. CARTER
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28